arXiv:1401.2659v1 [math.NT] 12 Jan 2014

A COMBINATORIAL PROOF ON PARTITION FUNCTION PARITY

DANIEL C. MCDONALD

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, USA

A *partition* λ of a positive integer n is a nonincreasing list of positive integer *parts* $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$ that sum to n. The *partition function* $p(n)$ counts the partitions of n.

The number-theoretic properties of $p(n)$ have been studied extensively. For example, Kolberg [3] proved in 1959 and Newman [4] proved independently in 1962 that $p(n)$ takes each value of parity infinitely often, with Fabrykowski and Subbarao [1] and Robbins [6] giving new proofs of this result in 1990 and 2004, respectively. Subbarao [7] strengthened the result in 1966 by proving that $p(2n+1)$ takes each value of parity infinitely often, though he was unable to prove the analogous result for $p(2n)$; this was later proved by Kolberg in private correspondence to Subbarao. Subbarao conjectured that $p(tn+r)$ takes each value of parity infinitely often for every pair r and t of integers satisfying $0 \leq r < t$. Over the years several authors confirmed this conjecture for various values of t, including the case $t = 16$ by Hirschhorn and Subbarao [2] in 1988. In 1995, Ono [5] proved that $p(tn+r)$ is either always even or takes each value of parity infinitely often. All of these proofs rely to some extent on manipulating generating functions.

We give a new self-contained proof that both $p(2n)$ and $p(2n+1)$ take each value of parity infinitely often. We show these results follow from a more general theorem concerning the enumeration of certain partitions of integers along arithmetic progressions, whose proof relies on a series of bijections rather than generating functions. For positive integers a and b, let $D_{a,b}(n)$ be the set of partitions of n into distinct parts each congruent to b modulo a.

Theorem 1. *Let a, b, c, d satisfy $a \geq b \geq 1$, $c \geq 0$, and $d \geq 2$. For $A = \{n : n \equiv bc \mod a\}$, there exist integers r and s satisfying $0 \leq r < s < d$ such that $|D_{a,b}(n)| \equiv r \mod d$ for infinitely many $n \in A$ and $|D_{a,b}(n)| \equiv s \mod d$ for infinitely many $n \in A$.*

Proof. To show at least two congruence classes modulo d are hit by $|D_{a,b}(n)|$ for infinitely many $n \in A$, it suffices to show that for every m there exists $n \in A$ satisfying $n \geq m$ and $|D_{a,b}(n-a)| \not\equiv |D_{a,b}(n)| \mod d$. Set $D^1_{a,b}(n) = D_{a,b}(n)$, and for $j > 1$ set $D^j_{a,b}(n) = \{\lambda \in D_{a,b}(n) : \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = \ldots = \lambda_{j-1} - \lambda_j = a\}$ (partitions in $D^j_{a,b}(n)$ have j parts or more).

Note that $D^{j+1}_{a,b}(n) \subseteq D^j_{a,b}(n)$ for $j \geq 1$. Since all parts of partitions in $D^j_{a,b}(n)$ lie in the same congruence class modulo a, a partition $\lambda \in D^j_{a,b}$ fails to be in $D^{j+1}_{a,b}(n)$ when λ_{j+1} does not exist or $\lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1} = ta$ with $t > 1$. If $D^{j+1}_{a,b}(n) \neq \emptyset$, then $n \geq \sum_{i=0}^{j}(ai+b)$, so every partition $\lambda \in D^j_{a,b}(n)$ satisfies $\lambda_j \geq a + b$ since otherwise $n = \sum_{i=0}^{j-1}(ai+b)$.

For $j \geq 1$ and $D^{j+1}_{a,b}(n) \neq \emptyset$, there exists a bijection $\phi^j_n : (D^j_{a,b}(n) - D^{j+1}_{a,b}(n)) \to D^j_{a,b}(n-aj)$ defined by $(\phi^j_n(\lambda))_i = \lambda_i - a$ for $1 \leq i \leq j$ and $(\phi^j_n(\lambda))_i = \lambda_i$ for $i > j$. Thus $|D^j_{a,b}(n-aj)| \not\equiv |D^j_{a,b}(n)| \mod d$ if $|D^{j+1}_{a,b}(n)| \not\equiv 0 \mod d$.

Let $k = am + c$ and $n_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(ai+b)$, so $n_1 \equiv bc \mod a$. Consider the partition λ of n_1 with k parts given by $\lambda_i = a(k-i)+b$; hence $|D^k_{a,b}(n_1)| = 1 \not\equiv 0 \mod d$ since clearly λ is the only partition in $D^k_{a,b}(n_1)$. This yields $|D^{k-1}_{a,b}(n_1 - a(k-1))| \not\equiv |D^{k-1}_{a,b}(n_1)| \mod d$, so we can pick $n_2 \in \{n_1 - a(k-1), n_1\}$ to satisfy $|D^{k-1}_{a,b}(n_2)| \not\equiv 0 \mod d$. Similarly, $|D^{k-2}_{a,b}(n_2 - a(k-2))| \not\equiv |D^{k-2}_{a,b}(n_2)| \mod d$, so we can pick $n_3 \in \{n_2 - a(k-2), n_2\}$ to satisfy $|D^{k-2}_{a,b}(n_3)| \not\equiv 0 \mod d$. Iterate this process to compute the sequence $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{k-1}$.

E-mail address: dmcdona4@illinois.edu.

Putting everything together, we have $n_i \equiv n_1 \equiv bc \mod a$ and $|D_{a,b}^{k-i}(n_i - a(k-i))| \not\equiv |D_{a,b}^{k-i}(n_i)| \mod d$ for $i < k$, with $n_{k-1} \geq n_1 - \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} ai > \sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(ai + b - ai) = kb \geq m$. Since $D_{a,b}(n) = D_{a,b}^1(n)$, setting $n = n_{k-1}$ completes the proof. □

The *Ferrers diagram* of a partition λ is a pattern of upper left-justified dots, with λ_i dots in the ith row from the top. The *conjugate partition* of λ is the partition whose Ferrers diagram has λ_i dots in the ith column from the left.

Corollary 2. *Both $p(2n)$ and $p(2n+1)$ take each value of parity infinitely often.*

Proof. Partition conjugation is an involution on the set of partitions of n that fixes only the partitions whose Ferrers diagrams are symmetric about the diagonal from the upper left to lower right. Thus $p(n)$ has the same parity as the number of self-conjugate partitions of n, and the set of such partitions is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of partitions of n into distinct odd parts through the bijection that unfolds the Ferrers diagram of any self-conjugate partition about its axis of symmetry. Thus $p(n) \equiv |D_{2,1}(n)| \mod 2$. Applying Theorem 1 twice with $(a, b, c, d) = (2, 1, 0, 2)$ and $(a, b, c, d) = (2, 1, 1, 2)$ yields both claims. □

References

[1] Fabrykowski, J.; Subbarao, M.V. Some new identities involving the partition function $p(n)$, in Number Theory, R.A. Mollin, ed., Walter de Gruyter, New York, 1990, 125–138.

[2] Hirschhorn, M. D.; Subbarao, M. V. On the parity of $p(n)$, Acta Arith. 50 (1988), no. 4, 355–356.

[3] Kolberg, O. Note on the parity of the partition function, Math. Scand. 7 (1959), 377–378.

[4] Newman, M. Advanced Problem No. 4944, Amer. Math. Monthly 69 (1962), no. 2, 175.

[5] Ono, K. Parity of the partition function, Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc. 1 (1995), no. 1, 35–42.

[6] Robbins, N. On the parity of the partition function, Fibonacci Quart. 42 (2004), no. 4, 368–369.

[7] Subbarao, M. V. Some remarks on the partition function, Amer. Math. Monthly 73 (1966), 851–854.